Vought (g.g.)

## EXPOSURE OF FACTS.

Fellow Citizens, and Gentlemen of the Mdical Profession,

Painful as the task is, the necessity f self-defence compels me to expose a copy of the following leters for public perusal. The magnanimous and loyal power of the Medical Society, combined with the Professors of the old Ollege, are fearful odds against one, who is only a medical man mong you, almost pennvless, though not entirely friendless. | call myself a man, and as such, have always meant to show myelf; and rather than be duped, misled, or silently suffer myselfabused by the mighty men of my profession, I will sacrifice ay health, my life, my all, in opposition to such measures. I have appealed to the Hon. Society for redress, and as often is my documents were presented, so often have they been menly evaded; not even read before the Society, but treated wh silent dignity. true, the former President filled the chai with dignity; but was it not that dignity which many make us of as a cloak to hide their ignorance and duplicity? By som I am met with fawning treachery, which warns me of the caker in their hearts. placed myself before the Heart of the Medical Society, and found its feelings adamant to impartial astice. I now look to another source—the public Heart, whic I trust is not callous to the touch of humanity. May not the pubc yet learn that arbitrary and supreme as our Society seems to e, it is only a body of trustees, and not the sole owners of medical science? Fee simple may be found in the breasts of suc as make improvements in medical science for the general good community, whether they be in or out the Society. JHN G. VOUGHT,

Physician to the New-York Infirmary fr diseases of the bowels, No. 139 Grand street.

P. S. The author considers it unfotunate to be obliged to publish this statement, against one who has held an honourable office in the Medical Society. He rishes not to beat himself against the bar of his own cage, safer the power of passion to overrule reason, or to injure the standing of Dr. Onderdonk. As Dr. O. has not made the least effort to effect reconciliation, the author hopes the public will excuse him for fulfilling his promise.



Reel 172 -

To Dr. Thomas Cock, President of the Medical Society of the city and county of New-York.

July 26th, 1828.

DEAR SIR.—Pleaseexcuse the liberty I take in thus addressing you on a subject of no personal interest to yourself, further than it relates to the aduous task of fulfilling the duties of the office you have aspired to, as President of the Medical Society of the city and county f New-York. It has been my fortune to be placed in friendly at honourable competition with some of the medical profession justly enjoying eminent degrees, whose worth and talents I highly respect, and in such association and council I have felt therlory of the medical profession. It has also been my fortune t meet the reverse of the profession, and Dr. Onderdonk, who bld the office you now enjoy, is one who has manifested his wisom, first by refusing to consult with me in a case where he wa sent for expressly by my consent and approbation, and seconly, by taking the patient on his own hands and prescribing when I had been the attending physician for several days. Thi was done in a most ungenerous, ignorant, and insulting maner, contrary to all medical ethics; and when called on for an eplanation, he added insult to injury, by plumply and falsely deving the charge. After such occurrences, his conduct toward me in the Society, at the last meeting, may be easily accounte for. It may be thought by many, an improper step in me, toome before the Society and claim my right of membership; ut I was actuated by the necessity of self-defence on the occion, and although I declare frankly that I meant to advance no ishonourable allusions to the Society, still my disposition towads the President then presiding was not altogether the most friedly and conciliating, for which I consider I have ample reaso to proclaim—and as in my views the step was legal and honorable. I have no further excuse to offer. Therefore I considered hyself a legal member of the Society independent of its membes and officers, and as I observed to the President, I left the Society on account of its being improper to remain while a questionwas discussing relative to my own situation, and not because I thought the Pr-sident or members had power to deprive me of my seat as a legal member. When my diploma was handed to the Committia Minora about one year ago, they thought prope not to recommend me as a member, and the reasons I undertood were, that I had opened an infirmary for the cure of bowelcomplaints, had an interest in a patent recipe, made an improvement on bathing tubs for the convehience of families, and published a treatise on bowel complaints, (before I had the tail of M. D. attached to my name,) in which I have recommended my improvement at a secret remedy in the cure of bowel complaints, and public advertisments, &c., all of which they say assumes the character of Quackery, and shall de-

prive me from being a member of the Midical Society.

Now, dear Sir, if an inquiry be made into the conduct of the profession generally, I would be glad to learn where the line of quackery (according to the true signification of the term) shall be drawn. It is the object of all who ttend to the practice of medicine, at least to make a livelihood b it; at all events. I never yet found one who strictly attended o it merely as a source of pleasure. It is evident to every cand observer, that all ambitious practitioners do make an effort i various ways to obtain the confidence of the public; and on a cliberate investigation of facts, do not a majority of the professionstoop to the level of the meanest of their fellow creatures, by pusuing a course of (what is justly termed by some) private quactry? I am one who is accused of open and public quackery, ad still I feel myself on a par with, (and guilty no farther tha) the most respectable and honourable part of my profession. For ten years I have confined my attention to that branch omedical practice, which is acknowledged by the profession at lige to be the most difficult to obtain general success. And ecause I have convinced some that improvements on the comma practice in such cases, . may be accomplished, they call out quakery. My time and my fortune has been devoted to this subjet. I have opened an infirmary for the cure of bowel complairs on my own plan, and with my own means, which is approved by some of the most learned and honourable part of the pifession. I ask, who are the persons that opened the Eye and hr Infirmary, and the In-

<sup>\* [</sup>Note by a member of the Society.] Dr. Cheman is undoubtedly protected in his sign for the cure of diseases of the ey and ear, because he is or has been one of the committia minora, and a sugeon of the New-York Hospital. The difference is, Dr. C. rides underhe sanction of an official station, (the charlatan car) with the full approation of the Medical Society, when an individual who may possess muchgreater merits and acquirements, is prohibited the ordinary right of roclaiming his own merits, the result of personal and arduous experience. The medical tribunal to which he may have recourse should possess and sercise the power to suppress and disparage the pretended superior skill f opponents whose failures are the cause, and the only cause of opposition. The physician to the New-York Infirmary for diseases of the bowels, as published his theory, principles and practice, and dedicated his worl to his medical subscribers are to the married ladies of the United States. The practice in other infirmaries in this city is concealed from the public eye. Quere—which is most dangerous to community, public or private quarkery?

firmary for diseases of the lungs ?\* And wherein have I acted more dishonourable to the profession than those concerned in these establishments? They used their abilities to render their work popular and beneficial to society, and my exertions are made for the same purposes, in my own behalf. I have published a work on diseases of the bowels, and as to the secrecy of its contents, remedies r principles, (please accept a copy of it) I will beg to refer youto pp. 53. 56, 57. 96., and a case 101. &c., together with the eneral principle of the whole work, designed not so much for te benefit of physicians and persons residing in cities, as for fmilies distant from correct medical information and medical rescriptions. There have appeared before the public some nevspaper puffs in favour of the merits of the author: but I knowl none less modest and more in the superlative form of a pif, than the notice in the Evening Post, headed, "N York Medal and Physical Journal," which says, "It ought to recommed it to the special notice of the faculty.

It has received a poweful addition of editorial talents in the persons of Doctors A. mith, T. R. Beck, Stevens, and J. R. Smith, and presents a cobination of professional talent unsur-

passed by any similar wok in the Union."

As to my interest in a atent recipe sanctioned by the general government, I conceive le Medical Society has no more right to investigate this matter, ban they have to investigate my interest in a farm, or will, or any ling else. This is confined to the business of the apothecary cablishment, and so long as it does not interfere with my professinal services as a physician and surgeon, the subject is foreig from the powers of the Medical Society; at all events they we no right to act upon it before they admit me as a member. In this head I can only be considered on a par with the invente of Hull's Trusses, who has always retained his seat in the Sciety; and on the same principle I retained mine in the count of Monroe, in defiance of all overbearing opposition. My ame being attached to an American invention I consider no me disgraceful or dishonourable, than the names of many of the rofessors of medicine (who hold the highest medical honours) ling attached in the form of certificates, &c. to most of the iventions, patents, and improvements which are daily hawked though our country, from east to west and from north to south. When we look at the jarring state of

<sup>\*</sup> Have the founders of the nfirmary for diseases of the lungs incurred the approbation of quackery? And was not the present resident physician, (Dr. Manly,) one of them? Lephysicians only obtain the countenance of their masters in the Medical Sciety, and they may then play the quack, either public or private, withou molestation.

the medical profession in this city, low contemptible does it appear to every unprejudiced and houst eye! The best of the faculty does not escape the maliciou charge of quackery, from some quarter or other. The friens of the two colleges call each other quacks and impostors, an every artful, and I had almost said, disgraceful means, are used to build up the one by the destruction and downfall of the other. Those who are attached to the Medical Society use their utmst to persecute and disgrace such as do not feel disposed to comby with their wishes and come under their dominion and corrol; and several of their most respectable members have whdrawn from the Society with disgust, and a determination neer again to show their faces within its walls.

But, dear Sir, I will trespass on yer patience no longer, with this unpleasant subject. I address to with wounded feelings, and a hope that your mind will not b biassed by the prejudices of others. I have made two honotable attempts to connect myself to your Society, and am not aware that the gigantic power of the Society is collected to ush me at blow. But I ask of them no pity. Let envy and ealousy rage around me, conscious of my own innocence, I fel myself elevated far be-

yond their reach.

Let me close, by informing you the I was educated in Schenectady, Albany, and Philadelphia, at have practiced medicine and surgery in this state since 1813, nder as legal, and I trust honourable a diploma as the state o New-York has power to confer. You are at liberty to use the letter either publicly or privately, in or out of the Society, as ou may think proper, with my declaration as first stated, of no dhonourable intentions towards yourself or the Society.

Respectfully/ours, &c.
OHN G. VOUGHT.

Jan. 25th, 1828.

To Dr. Onderdonk,

Dear Sir,—You are a stranger to m, but I can no longer refrain from inquiring the reasons why you refused to consult with me, on the case of Mr. Peckwell's chill, on Sunday last. It is the first uncivil treatment I have met with by my fellow physicians in the course of 14 years' practice, and the injury to my feelings demands an explanation. Yours &c. JOHN G. VOJGHT, 139 Grand st.

Feb. 2nd, 1828.

To Dr. John G. Vought, 19 Grand st.

Dear Sir,—I received you note of 25th ult. at which time I was much indisposed. I beg nw to say, that I did not "refuse to consult with you;" and I m sure, had you been present, you would not have accused most uncivil treatment. There must be a misunderstanding. Yours, &c.

JOHN ONDERDONK.

Feb. 18th, 1828.

To Dr John Onderdonk,

Dear Sir,—Your answero my note of Jan. 25th, I consider no apology for your infringeent upon my rights in the case of Mr. Peckwell's child; butnly a denial of the fact. You certainly refused to see me wen Mr. Peckwell requested to send for me. The 4th division Medical Ethics, say that, "honour and justice particularly fold a medical practitioner infringing upon the rights and priviles of another, whether he be a native or stranger settled in the cantry; and no physician is to visit a patient under another's ce, without informing the attending physician."\* In this partnlar you have deviated from the gentlemanly conduct of an hourable practitioner of medicine, and a candid acknowledgment of facts is your only apology for reconciliation.

You may be informed that I have been a legal practitioner of medicine in this state for 4 years, and my character never impeached by civil or medic authority; and no case of mal practice can be brought againsme, which is perhaps more than your honor can say of yourself I depend on my industry and professional talents for my suport, and am one who never wishes to injure the feelings of my flow creatures, and ever ready to defend my own rights; but all times ready to meet reconciliation when such is manifested by proper acknowledgment. These are the motives which wilgovern me in this case.

Yours, &c. JOHN G. VOUGHT.

† Perhaps the Dr. has forgitten the dislocated os humeri, and his honorary title of M.D. from the Old College. Has he any other diploma to

show for his dignified station in the medical world?

<sup>\*</sup>Mr. Peckwell acted the entlemanly part—he consulted Dr. V. about sending for Dr. Onderdonk. Dr. V. agreed to meet him. Mr. Peckwell promised to send for Dr. V. hen Dr. O. came. Mr. P. offered to send for Dr. V., and Dr. O. refusd to see him, and replied it was of no consequence, that Mrs. Peckwel would tell him what Dr. V. had done for the child. Dr. V. remained home for three hours, (only 2 doors from the patient) expecting to be sent for to meet Dr. Onderdonk, till he went to see the child, and found him under the prescriptions of Dr. Onderdonk.

To Dr. John Onderdonk, Vesey st.

Dear Sir .- I had heretofore received the advice of some of my friends, and concluded to take no further notice of you; that your ignorance of the duties and hoours of the medical profession was a sufficient apology for yur despicable and unlawful treatment towards me in the case oMr. Peckwell's child. But I have lately understood that you re boasting to the medical gentlemen in this city of turning to out the Medical Society, and privately accusing me of imperhence for entering the Society and claiming my seat as a legal rember. This, sir, demands a public explanation, which shall belone, unless you make such acknowledgments as are acceptable in my views. If it is your wish, you shall be accommodated tan exposure of characters. If you are so ignorant as not to know he fact, I now again inform you, that all legal M dical societie are open for all classes of citizens, and physicians and studen of medicine are often solicited to attend by officers who underand the gentlemanly duties of their profession; and furthermo, that all private transactions or caucus meetings are, by thehonourable, deemed acts of quackery, and repugnant to the libeal character of the medical profession. You know, sir, that I It the Society because you gave your opinion that it was impoper that I should remain while a question was to be decided lative to my own case, and not because I thought you or any over man had a legal right to request me to withdraw.\* If your ige has not endowed your mind with capacity to discern the knour of upright and manly conduct, and the disgracefulness othe opposite, you have at least obtained thereby the advantae. Little minds are ever ambitious of personal protection, exmpting themselves personally, and prostrating the honour anceredit of the profession to which they pretend to belong. "Fa Science dawned not on thy humble birth." I hereafter declarenyself your public enemy in JOHN G. VOUGHT. the medical profession.

Since the above was set in type, called on the Secretary of the Medical Society, (Dr. Graves, for the documents handed to them in February last. He poitely answered, that at this time he was out the city, and the acretary protein during his absence, did not record any documents relative to Dr. Onderdonk and myself, and that he never laid his eyes on any thing written on this subject. This shows that the President secretly kept the papers from the Society, or destroyed them. I have ample prof that they were handed to the presiding secretary by the door keeper.

J. G. VOUGHT.

<sup>\*</sup> The above are exact copies, verbalim as near as could be made out. The author can prove every transaction, and far more if necessary.

## From the N. V. Morning Courier.

[COMMUNICATED.]

It is understood that some of te members of the Medical Society in this. city, think themselves privileged o use the ignominious lash of private persecution upon such physicians a are not members of their Society. They also appear to take the law in thir own hands, and choose who shall, and who shall not be members of the County Society, notwithstanding all legal York Infirmary for diseases of the bowels, No. 139 Grand-st. is made an example of their good feelings, id is one whose situation he is willing should be investigated by a general spublic. In June last, a legal diploma was filed, and handed to the edical Society, (or a committee of the same) with a request for membehip, according to law, and proposed by a member of the Society. This vs rejected, on the principles that the applicant's name was attached to compound, sanctioned by the United States as his own improvemen for curing bowel complaints, which, according to the Society bye lawsnust deprive him of membership. It was stated to the Society, that said provement never has been held as a secret or a nostrum—but public explained, for the benefit of all who choose to profit by it in various ys; (see Vought on Bowel Complaints, pp. 53, 60, 66, 171, containing rmulas for Alkaline Draughts, Enemas and Baths, the only ones of theike kind on medical record,) on which ground the applicant retained h standing in the Medical Society of the county of Monroe. It was also sted that the article in question, so much envied and harped upon, belond to the Apothecary department of the New York Infirmary for bowecomplaints; and so far as related to the practice of medicine and surger, he was willing, in every particular, to conform to the laws and rules one Society; but his membership (as also that of many others) was denied He was deprived the liberty of justifying himself, and the power of makit his own defence. The applicant commenced the study of medicine ther Doctors M'Clelland and Low, in Albany, in 1808-closed under I Stearns, and at the University of Pennsylvania, in 1812 and 1813; anhas since practised medicine in this city, Albany, and Rochester, and is member of two Societies in this state. The objection was, not because e is unqualified, either personally or legally, to practice medicine, but ecause he is authorised by government to use his improvement in the head art at his own discretion, for the general good of community. Other were also rejected, who merit the honors of the profession, (if any there b worth contending for in the present state of affairs) equal to many who stad polished members of the Society. And why? Quere—Was it not becase some of the Society were learful they would use their influence in faur of the Professors of Rutger's College?

We look for that day when or legislature will revise the medical laws

We look for that day when dr legislature will revise the medical laws to prevent quackery and imposion, and place individuals, medical institutions, and professors who have qual merits, with equal rights and privileges—competition is the grand imulus of improvement, and monopoly the quietus of ambition and enterpise.

A PHYSICIAN.

